Application/Patent No:09/226,597

File/Issue Date: 1/7/1999

Inventor/title: Pimentel/Weight Control Using An Anti-Lapse Antibody

Examiner/ArtUnit: Gailene Gabel/1641

37 CFR 1.111AMENDMENT

## ASSISTANT COMMISSION FOR PATENT

Sir: In response to the NON FINAL office action in this application mailed 2/9/2007 and further to the notice of non-compliant amendment mailed August 2, 2007, the applicant files this response.

## Table of Contents

IN TH	HE CLA	<u>AIMS</u> .			
REM.	<u>ARKS</u>				
I.	SUMMARY OF THE OFFICE ACTION 11				
II.	SUMMARY OF CLAIMS				
III.	RESPONSE TO CLAIM OBJECTIONS				
IV.	SUMMARY OF REJECTIONS WITHDRAWN				
V.	REJECTION OF CLAIMS AS INDEFINITE UNDER 35 USC 112, SECOND PARAGRAPH <u>112</u>				
	A.	Clair	n 3		
	B.	Clair	n 12		
	C.	Clair	Claims 20 and 21		
	D.	Claims 34, 35, and 42			
VI.	THE WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC 103 BASED UPON				
	LECLERCQ				
VII.	THE CURRENT OBVIOUSNESS REJECTIONS BASED UPON COOK AND LECLERCQ 19				
	A.	The	Obviousness Rejections Are Improper Because Cook (USP 5,919,451) is Not Prior		
		Art			
		1.	The Proof of Actual Reduction To Practice Not Later Than July 7, 1997 <u>20</u>		
		2.	The 35 USC 102 Prior Art Date of the Cook Patent		
	B.	The 6	Obviousness Rejections are Improper Because Cook and LeClercq Do not Suggest		
		Wha	t is Claimed		
		1.	The Teachings of the Cook USP 5,919,451 Patent		
		2.	THE TEACHINGS OF LECLERCQ		
		3.	THE COMBINED TEACHINGS OF COOK AND LECLERCQ $\dots 30$		
		4.	SECONDARY INDICIA OF NON OBVIOUSNESS		
			a. The Conclusions Reached by the Examiner of USP 5,725,873 are		
			Contrary to the Obviousness Conclusion Reached by the Examiner in this		
			Application		

	b.	There is Additional Objective Evidence Supporting the Conclusion	
		Efficacy of Feeding AntiBodies to CCK to affect metabolism did not	
		Provide a Reasonable Expectation of Success for any Other Nutrition	
		Related Factor	. 34
VIII.	THE PROVISIONAL	NON-STATUTORY DOUBLE PATENT REJECTIONS	. <u>3</u> 6
IX.	EVIDENCE IN THIS	APPLICATION	. 40